

## ON 2-DIMENSIONAL CW-COMPLEXES WITH A SINGLE 2-CELL

SUSHIL JAJODIA

**In this paper we are interested in finite connected 2-dimensional CW-complexes, each with a single 2-cell. We show any two such complexes have the same homotopy type if their fundamental groups are isomorphic. In fact, there is a homotopy equivalence inducing any isomorphism of the fundamental groups. We also study the homotopy factorizations of such spaces into finite sums.**

In this paper we are interested in finite connected 2-dimensional CW-complexes with a single 2-cell. Each such CW-complex has the homotopy type of the cellular model  $C(\mathcal{R})$  of some finite one-relator presentation

$$\mathcal{R} = (x_1, \dots, x_n; R)$$

of  $E = \pi_1 X$ . If the single relator  $R$  is not a proper power, it is known that the cellular model  $C(\mathcal{R})$  is aspherical (see [10], [1], or [4]), hence it is determined up to homotopy type by its fundamental group. If the single relator  $R$  is a proper power,  $C(\mathcal{R})$  is not aspherical, nevertheless we are able to prove the following:

**THEOREM 1.** *Any two finite connected 2-dimensional CW-complexes, each with a single 2-cell, have the same homotopy type if their fundamental groups are isomorphic. In fact there is a homotopy equivalence inducing any isomorphism of the fundamental groups.*

Our proof makes use of Lyndon's resolution for one-relator groups [10] and some combinatorial results on one-relator groups which can be found in the book by Magnus, Karass, and Solitar [11].

Theorem 1 has these corollaries:

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two finite connected 2-dimensional CW-complexes, each with a single 2-cell. Then  $X \simeq Y$  if  $X \vee L \simeq Y \vee M$  where  $L$  and  $M$  are finite CW-complexes with isomorphic fundamental groups. Thus  $X \simeq Y$  if and only if  $X \vee L \simeq Y \vee L$  where  $L$  is any finite CW-complex.*

*Proof.* We have  $\pi_1 X * \pi_1 L \approx \pi_1 Y * \pi_1 M$ . Because all groups involved are finite generated, we can write these as free product of

irreducible groups (relative to free product), and by uniqueness of such free product decompositions (see [11], p. 245), we obtain  $\pi_1 X \approx \pi_1 Y$ . The result now follows from Theorem 1.

Given a space  $X$  with fundamental group  $\mathcal{E}$ , the homotopy classes of homotopy self-equivalences  $X \rightarrow X$  form a group under composition. There is an evaluation homomorphism

$$\#: \mathcal{E}(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathcal{E}$$

which assigns to each based self-equivalence  $f: X \rightarrow X$  the automorphism  $f_\#: \pi_1 X = \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  in  $\text{Aut } \mathcal{E}$ . By Theorem 1 we have

**COROLLARY 2.** *For a finite connected 2-dimensional CW-complex  $X$  with a single 2-cell, the evaluation homomorphism  $\#: \mathcal{E}(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathcal{E}$  is an epimorphism with kernel  $H^2(\mathcal{E}, \pi_2 X)$ . (See Schellenberg [12].)*

The only possible free product decompositions  $\mathcal{E} \approx H * K$  of a finitely generated one-relator group  $\mathcal{E}$  involve another such group  $H$  and a free group  $K$  of finite rank (this statement follows from a remark in [13] (page 276) which is stated there without proof, hence we include its proof in the proof of Theorem 2). We prove the following topological analogue of this algebraic situation:

**THEOREM 2.** *The only possible nontrivial homotopy decompositions  $X \simeq W \vee Z$  of a connected finite 2-dimensional CW-complex with a single 2-cell involves another such complex  $W$  and a finite sum  $Z = kS^1$  of  $k$  copies of the 1-sphere  $S^1$ , and there is such a homotopy decomposition  $X \simeq W \vee Z$  for each nontrivial free product decomposition  $\pi_1 X \approx H * K$ .*

**DEFINITION.** We say a space  $X$  is *irreducible* if each homotopy decomposition  $X \simeq Y \vee Z$  is trivial, i.e., either  $Y$  or  $Z$  is contractible.

By Theorem 2 we have that a finite connected 2-dimensional CW-complex  $X$  with a single 2-cell is irreducible if and only if  $\pi_1 X$  is irreducible (see also Lemma 3 in §3). In [13] Shenitzer proves some results which ensure the irreducibility of a one-relator group. For example he shows that the one-relator group

$$\left( x_1, \dots, x_k: \left( \prod_{i=1}^k x_i^2 \right)^q \right)$$

is irreducible, hence its cellular model is irreducible. In particular

any nonorientable closed surface of genus  $k \geq 1$  is irreducible.

For a reducible one-relator group  $\mathcal{E}$ , by uniqueness of the free product decompositions, we have that  $\mathcal{E}$  can be written as a free product  $H * K$  where  $H$  is an irreducible one-relator group and  $K$  is a free group of rank  $k$ , for some maximal integer  $k \geq 1$ . We have the following topological analogue.

**COROLLARY 3.** *If  $X$  is a finite connected 2-dimensional CW-complex with a single 2-cell, then  $X \simeq Y \vee kS^1$  where  $Y$  is an irreducible 2-dimensional CW-complex with a single 2-cell and  $k \geq 0$  is the maximal number of free factors in a free product decomposition of  $\pi_1 X$ .*

We have the following uniqueness result for the decompositions relative to the sum:

**COROLLARY 4.** *Suppose  $X_1 \vee X_2 \vee \cdots \vee X_n \simeq Y_1 \vee Y_2 \vee \cdots \vee Y_m$  where  $X_i$  and  $Y_j$  are 2-dimensional finite connected irreducible CW-complexes with a single 2-cell. Then  $n = m$  and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  can be rearranged so as to yield  $Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_n}$  where  $X_i \simeq Y_{j_i}$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $\pi_1 X_1 * \pi_1 X_2 * \cdots * \pi_1 X_n \cong \pi_1 Y_1 * \pi_1 Y_2 * \cdots * \pi_1 Y_m$  where  $\pi_1 X_i$  and  $\pi_1 Y_j$  are irreducible with respect to free product. Thus by uniqueness of such free product decompositions, we have  $n = m$  and  $\pi_1 X_i \approx \pi_1(Y_{j_i})$ . The result now follows from Theorem 1.

The organization of this paper is as follows. The proof of Theorem 1 is given in §2, using two lemmas which are given in §1. The proof of Theorem 2 is given in §3. Finally in §4 we give an example of Dunwoody which shows that the Theorem 1 fails to generalize for 2-dimensional CW-complexes with one-relator fundamental groups and the same number  $n > 1$  of 2-cells.

All the spaces in this paper are connected CW-complexes unless otherwise stated, with some zero cell chosen as basepoint which is preserved by all maps and homotopies.

I would like to express my gratitude to Professors A. J. Sieradski and M. N. Dyer for their guidance and valuable advice. My thanks are also due to Dr. Sieradski for his valuable suggestions for the improvement of his paper.

**1. Some results about one-relator groups.** A finite presentation  $\mathcal{P} = (g_\alpha; r_\beta)$  consists of a finite set  $\{g_\alpha\}$  of elements, called the generators of  $\mathcal{P}$ , together with a finite set  $\{r_\beta\}$  of elements in the free group  $F = F(g_\alpha)$  on the generators, called the relators of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

The group presented by  $\mathcal{P} = (g_\alpha: r_\beta)$  is the quotient group  $\pi = F/N$  of  $F$  modulo the smallest normal subgroup  $N = N(r_\beta)$  of  $F$  containing the relators  $r_\beta$ . In this case we say  $\pi$  is a finitely presented group.

Now we record some results about the one-relator group  $\mathcal{E}$  which is given by the presentation

$$\mathcal{R} = (x_1, \dots, x_n: R^r)$$

where  $R$  is not a proper power.

*Notation.* For simplicity, we employ the same notation for elements of  $F$  and  $\mathcal{E}$ . We let  $Z\mathcal{E}$  denote the integral group ring of  $\mathcal{E}$ . All  $Z\mathcal{E}$ -modules are left  $Z\mathcal{E}$ -modules. Any element  $w \in Z\mathcal{E}$  defines a left  $Z\mathcal{E}$ -module homomorphism  $w: Z\mathcal{E} \rightarrow Z\mathcal{E}$  given by the right multiplication. If  $K$  is any left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $w \in Z\mathcal{E}$ ,  ${}_wK$  denotes the subgroup of all  $k \in K$  such that  $wk = 0$ . For  $w \in \mathcal{E}$  and a positive integer  $s$ , we let

$$\langle w, s \rangle = 1 + w + \dots + w^{s-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle w, -s \rangle = -w^{-s} \langle w, s \rangle \quad \text{in} \quad Z\mathcal{E}.$$

We have the following  $\langle \ \rangle$ -identities:

$$\begin{aligned} (w - 1)\langle w, s \rangle &= w^s - 1, \quad \langle w, s \rangle + w^s \langle w, t \rangle = \langle w, s + t \rangle, \\ \langle w, s \rangle \langle w^s, t \rangle &= \langle w, st \rangle \end{aligned}$$

whenever the elements involved are defined. (See [12].)

The following is a  $\mathcal{E}$ -resolution of the trivial  $\mathcal{E}$ -module  $Z$  (see Lyndon [10]):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{\langle R, r \rangle} & Z\mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{R-1} & Z\mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\langle R, r \rangle} & Z\mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{R-1} & Z\mathcal{E} \\ & & \searrow^{\partial_2} & & \searrow^{\partial_1} & & \searrow^\varepsilon & & \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where  $\varepsilon: Z\mathcal{E} \rightarrow Z$  is the augmentation homomorphism,

$$\partial_1 = (x_1 - 1, \dots, x_n - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_2 = \langle R, r \rangle (\partial R / \partial x_1, \dots, \partial R / \partial x_n)$$

is the Jacobian matrix of the presentation  $\mathcal{R}$  described in the free differential calculus of R. H. Fox [5, p. 198].

Hence using the left ideal  $Z\mathcal{E}(R - 1)$  as the coefficient module and the above resolution, there is the cohomology group

$$H^3(\mathcal{E}, Z\mathcal{E}(R - 1)) = {}_{\langle R, r \rangle} Z\mathcal{E}(R - 1) / (R - 1)Z\mathcal{E}(R - 1).$$

LEMMA 1. *The cohomology group*

$$H^3(\mathcal{E}, Z\mathcal{E}(R - 1)) \approx Z\rho(R - 1) / Z\rho(R - 1)^2 \approx Z_r$$

where  $\rho$  denotes the cyclic subgroup of  $\mathcal{E}$  generated by  $R$ .

*Proof.* Let  $w \in Z\mathcal{E}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R, r \rangle w(R-1) = 0 &\iff w(R-1) \in (R-1)Z\mathcal{E} \\ &\quad \text{[from Lyndon's resolution]} \\ &\iff w \in Z\rho + Z\mathcal{E}\langle R, r \rangle + (R-1)Z\mathcal{E} \\ &\quad \text{[This is Lemma 3 of Hughes [8]].} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} H^3(\mathcal{E}, Z\mathcal{E}(R-1)) &= (Z\rho(R-1) + (R-1)Z\mathcal{E}(R-1)) / (R-1)Z\mathcal{E}(R-1) \\ &= Z\rho(R-1) / Z\rho(R-1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now the second isomorphism of the lemma follows from the following relation:  $R^i(R-1) \equiv (R-1)$  modulo  $(R-1)^2$ . The proof is via induction. For  $i=0$ , the result is trivial and for  $i=1$ , the relation is simply  $R^2 - R \equiv R-1$  modulo  $R^2 - 2R + 1$ . Suppose it is true for  $i = n-1 \geq 1$ , then  $R^n(R-1) = R \cdot R^{n-1}(R-1) \equiv R(R-1) \equiv (R-1)$  modulo  $(R-1)^2$ . One can therefore define the required isomorphism this way:

$$\Sigma \alpha_i R^i (R-1) \text{ mod } Z\rho(R-1)^2 \longrightarrow \Sigma \alpha_i \text{ mod } r.$$

That  $H^3(\mathcal{E}, Z\mathcal{E}(R-1)) \approx Z_r$  also follows from Theorem 2, page 129 of [6].

LEMMA 2. Let  $(r, s) = 1$ . Then

- (i) The left ideals  $Z\mathcal{E}(R-1)$  and  $Z\mathcal{E}(R^s-1)$  in  $Z\mathcal{E}$  coincide.
- (ii) The  $Z\mathcal{E}$ -module homomorphism  $\langle R, s \rangle: Z\mathcal{E}(R-1) \rightarrow Z\mathcal{E}(R^s-1)$  is an isomorphism and the induced homomorphism  $\langle R, s \rangle_*: Z_r \approx H^3(\mathcal{E}, Z\mathcal{E}(R-1)) \rightarrow H^3(\mathcal{E}, Z\mathcal{E}(R^s-1)) \approx Z_r$  carries  $1 \rightarrow s$ .

*Proof.* (i) Because  $(r, s) = 1$ , there exists positive integers  $k$  and  $s'$  such that  $ss' = 1 + kr$ . Using the  $\langle \ \rangle$ -identities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R^s, s' \rangle (R^s - 1) &= \langle R^s, s' \rangle \langle R, s \rangle (R - 1) \\ &= \langle R, ss' \rangle (R - 1) \\ &= (k\langle R, r \rangle + 1)(R - 1) \\ &= R - 1, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $Z\mathcal{E}(R-1)$  is a subset of  $Z\mathcal{E}(R^s-1)$ . Since  $\langle R, s \rangle (R-1) = R^s - 1$ , we have  $Z\mathcal{E}(R^s-1)$  is a subset of  $Z\mathcal{E}(R-1)$ .

(ii) One easily checks that when  $ss' \equiv 1 \pmod r$ , the  $Z\mathcal{E}$ -module homomorphisms  $\langle R, s \rangle$  and  $\langle R^s, s' \rangle$  are inverses. In terms of the identifications of Lemma 1, the induced cohomology homomorphism

$\langle R, s \rangle_*$  is given by

$$1(R - 1) \bmod Z\rho(R - 1)^2 \longrightarrow \langle R, s \rangle(R - 1) \bmod Z\rho(R - 1)^2$$

or equivalently,

$$1 \bmod r \longrightarrow s \bmod r .$$

2. **Proof of Theorem 1.** Given a 2-dimensional CW-complex  $X$  with a single 0-cell, the universal covering  $\tilde{X}$  of  $X$  admits the fundamental group  $\mathcal{E} = \pi_1 X$  as the group of covering transformations, and there is a canonical CW-structure on  $\tilde{X}$  for which the projection map is cellular and the covering transformations  $g: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{E}$ , are orientation preserving cellular homeomorphisms. The action of the covering transformations on the cellular chain complex  $C_*(\tilde{X})$  via the induced chain maps  $g_*: C_*(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow C_*(\tilde{X})$ , makes  $C_*(\tilde{X})$  a chain complex over  $Z\mathcal{E}$ . We can identify the second homotopy module  $\pi_2 X$  with  $H_2 \tilde{X} = \ker \partial_2(\tilde{X})$ , using the covering projection isomorphism  $\pi_2 \tilde{X} \approx \pi_2 X$  and the Hurewicz isomorphism  $\pi_2 \tilde{X} \approx H_2 \tilde{X}$ .

Now let  $Y$  be any other 2-dimensional CW-complex with a single 0-cell, and let  $\alpha$  be homomorphism from  $\pi_1 X = \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \pi = \pi_1 Y$ . Let  ${}_a C_*(\tilde{Y})$  denote  $C_*(Y)$  viewed as a chain complex of modules  ${}_a C_n(\tilde{Y})$  over  $Z\mathcal{E}$  by means of the action  $m \cdot x = \alpha(m) \cdot x$  for  $m \in Z\mathcal{E}$  and  $x \in C_n(\tilde{Y})$ . Any map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  with  $f_* = \alpha$  on the fundamental groups, lifts to give a map  $\tilde{f}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  which induces a chain map  $\tilde{f}_*: C_*(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow {}_a C_*(\tilde{Y})$  of  $Z\mathcal{E}$ -module homomorphism. Conversely, any chain map  $v: C_*(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow {}_a C_*(\tilde{Y})$  with  $v_0 = Z_\alpha: C_0(\tilde{X}) = Z\mathcal{E} \rightarrow {}_a C_0(\tilde{Y})$ , is realizable by a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f_*: \pi_1 X \rightarrow \pi_1 Y$  is  $\alpha: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \pi$  and  $Z\mathcal{E}$ -module homomorphism  $f_*: \pi_2(X) \rightarrow \pi_2(Y)$  coincides with  $v_2 | \ker \partial_2(\tilde{X}): \ker \partial_2(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \ker \partial_2(\tilde{Y})$  under the identifications  $\ker \partial_2(\tilde{X}) \equiv \pi_2(X)$  and  $\ker \partial_2(\tilde{Y}) \equiv \pi_2(Y)$ . Thus  $X$  and  $Y$  have the same homotopy type if and only if the above homomorphism  $\alpha: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \pi$  is an isomorphism and there is a chain map  $v: C_*(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow {}_a C_*(\tilde{Y})$  which restricts to  $\ker \partial_2(\tilde{X})$  to give an  $Z\mathcal{E}$ -module isomorphism (see Schellenberg [12]).

Since  $\tilde{X}$  is simply connected, the chain complex  $C_*(\tilde{X})$  provides us with the truncated free resolution  $\varepsilon: C_*(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow Z$  which we can extend into a free resolution

$$C_*(\mathcal{E}): \dots \longrightarrow C_3(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\partial_3(\mathcal{E})} C_2(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\partial_2(\tilde{X})} C_1(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\partial_1(\tilde{X})} C_0(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} Z \longrightarrow 0$$

$\parallel$   
 $Z\mathcal{E}$

of the trivial module  $Z$  over  $Z\mathcal{E}$  ( $\varepsilon: Z\mathcal{E} \rightarrow Z$  is the augmentation homomorphism). In view of the exactness of the resolution  $C_*(\mathcal{E})$ , we have that  $\text{Image } \partial_3(\mathcal{E}) = \ker \partial_2(\tilde{X}) \equiv \pi_2(X)$ . Since any free resolu-

tion of the trivial module  $Z$  over  $Z\mathcal{E}$  is known to be uniquely determined upto chain equivalence, the cohomology depends on the fundamental group  $\mathcal{E}$  alone.

The following “comparison theorem” will be helpful in the proof of Theorem 1. We state it in a more general setting than required for Theorem 1.

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\pi$  be two groups such that  $H^3(\mathcal{E}, Z\mathcal{E}) = 0$  and  $H^3(\pi, Z\pi) = 0$ . Let  $C_*(\mathcal{E})$  and  $C_*(\pi)$  be free resolutions of finite type (i.e., each module is finitely generated) over  $Z\mathcal{E}$  and  $Z\pi$ , respectively, of the trivial module  $Z$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\alpha: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \pi$  be a group isomorphism. If  $u: C_*(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow {}_\alpha C_*(\pi)$  is any chain map over  $Z\mathcal{E}$  extending  $1: Z \rightarrow Z$  and  $u: N(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow {}_\alpha N(\pi)$  is its restriction to kernels of  $\partial_2(\mathcal{E})$  and  $\partial_2(\pi)$ , the induced homomorphism*

$$u_*: H^3(\mathcal{E}, N(\mathcal{E})) \longrightarrow H^3(\mathcal{E}, {}_\alpha N(\pi))$$

*is an isomorphism. Moreover, if  $v$  is any other such chain map, then  $u_* = v_*: H^3(\mathcal{E}, N(\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow H^3(\mathcal{E}, {}_\alpha N(\pi))$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $C_*(\pi)$  is free over  $Z\pi$ , there exists a chain map  $u': C_*(\pi) \rightarrow {}_{\alpha^{-1}}C_*(\mathcal{E})$  over  $Z\pi$  extending  $1: Z \rightarrow Z$ , or equivalently, a chain map  $u': {}_\alpha C_*(\pi) \rightarrow C_*(\mathcal{E})$  over  $Z\mathcal{E}$  extending  $1: Z \rightarrow Z$ . We again denote by  $u': {}_\alpha N(\pi) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{E})$  the restriction of  $u_2$  to kernels of  $\partial_2(\pi)$  and  $\partial_2(\mathcal{E})$ . We prove that  $u'_*u_* = 1_{H^3(\mathcal{E}, N(\mathcal{E}))}$ . Because both  $u'u$  and  $1: C_*(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow C_*(\mathcal{E})$  extend the identity map, they are chain homotopic so that there exists a chain homotopy  $s: 1 \simeq u'u$ , i.e.,  $1 - u'u = \partial(\mathcal{E})s + s\partial(\mathcal{E})$ . For  $\{f\} \in H^3(\mathcal{E}, N(\mathcal{E}))$ , we have  $u'u_f = f - \partial_3(\mathcal{E})s_2f - s_1\partial_2(\mathcal{E})f = f - \partial_3(\mathcal{E})s_2f$  since  $f: C_3(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{E}) = \ker \partial_2(\mathcal{E})$ , and we have  $\partial_3(\mathcal{E})s_2f \in B^3(\mathcal{E}, N(\mathcal{E}))$  since  $\{s_2f\} \in H^3(\mathcal{E}, C_2(\mathcal{E})) = 0$ , by the hypothesis  $H^3(\mathcal{E}, Z\mathcal{E}) = 0$  and the fact that the functor  $H^3(\mathcal{E}, -)$  is additive (i.e., it commutes with finite direct sums). Using the hypothesis  $H^3(\pi, Z\pi) = 0$ , one can similarly show  $u_*u'_* = 1_{H^3(\mathcal{E}, {}_\alpha N(\pi))}$ .

Finally let  $v: C_*(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow {}_\alpha C_*(\pi)$  be any other chain map over  $Z\mathcal{E}$  extending  $1: Z \rightarrow Z$ . We prove that  $(u - v)_*$  is the zero homomorphism. Because both  $u, v: C_*(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow {}_\alpha C_*(\pi)$  extend the identity map  $1: Z \rightarrow Z$ , there exists a chain homotopy  $s: u \simeq v$ , i.e.,  $u - v = \partial(\pi)s + s\partial(\mathcal{E})$ . For  $\{f\} \in H^3(\mathcal{E}, N(\mathcal{E}))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u - v)f &= \partial_3(\pi)s_2f + s_1\partial_2(\mathcal{E})f \\ &= \partial_3(\pi)s_2f, \end{aligned}$$

since  $f: C_3(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{E}) = \ker \partial_2(\mathcal{E})$ , and we have  $\partial_3(\pi)s_2f \in B^3(\mathcal{E}, N(\pi))$  since  $H^3(\mathcal{E}, C_2(\mathcal{E})) = 0$ .

In view of Lyndon's resolution, the hypothesis of the above theorem is satisfied for one-relator groups. Indeed there is a rather large class of groups for which the hypothesis holds (see [3]).

Before we can give a proof of Theorem 1, we need one more observation.

Each finite presentation

$$\mathcal{P} = (g_1, \dots, g_m; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$$

of  $\pi$  has a *cellular model*  $C(\mathcal{P})$  with fundamental group  $\pi_1(C(\mathcal{P})) = \pi$ . This model is obtained from a sum  $VS_i^1$  1-spheres  $S^1$ , one for each generator  $g_i$ , by attaching 2-cells via maps  $S^1 \rightarrow VS_i^1$  spelling out the relators  $\gamma_j$ . Using the standard argument for collapsing a maximal tree, each finite connected 2-dimensional *CW-complex* has the homotopy type of the cellular model  $C(\mathcal{P})$  of some finite presentation  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\pi = \pi_1 X$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be finite connected 2-dimensional *CW-complexes* with a single 2-cell and isomorphic fundamental groups. Since  $X$  and  $Y$  have the same homotopy type as the cellular models  $C(\mathcal{R})$  and  $C(\mathcal{Q})$ , respectively, where

$$\mathcal{R} = (x_1, \dots, x_n; R^r)$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q} = (y_1, \dots, y_m; Q^q)$$

( $R$  and  $Q$  are not proper powers) are finite presentations for  $\mathcal{E} = \pi_1 X$  and  $\pi = \pi_1 Y$ , we may assume that  $X = C(\mathcal{R})$  and  $Y = C(\mathcal{Q})$ .

Suppose  $r = 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}$  is torsion-free ([11], Theorem 4.2, p. 266). This implies that  $\pi$  is torsion-free as well so that  $q = 1$ ; thus  $X$  and  $Y$  are aspherical (see [10], [1], or [4]). Since by hypothesis  $\pi_1(X) = \mathcal{E} \approx \pi = \pi_1(Y)$ , they have the same homotopy type and in fact there is a homotopy equivalence between  $X$  and  $Y$  inducing any isomorphism  $\alpha: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \pi$ .

Thus we assume  $r \geq 2$ . We claim that  $r = q$  and  $n = m$ . The first follows since  $R$  defines an element exactly of order  $r$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  ([11], Corollary 4.11, p. 266) and elements of finite order in  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\pi$  are defined by conjugates of powers of  $R$  and  $Q$ , respectively, ([11], Theorem 4.13, p. 269). The second follows by looking at the abelianizations of the two groups.

Now let  $\alpha: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \pi$  be any given isomorphism. Then  $\alpha(R) = gQ^t g^{-1}$  where  $g \in \pi$ ,  $(t, r) = 1$  ([11], Theorem 4.13, p. 269). Because  $X = C(\mathcal{R})$  and  $Y = C(\mathcal{Q})$ , the truncated free resolutions  $\varepsilon: C_*(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow Z$  and  $\varepsilon': C_*(\tilde{Y}) \rightarrow Z$  coincide with the initial segments of Lyndon's reso-



lutions  $C_*(\mathcal{E})$  and  $C_*(\pi)$  of the trivial module  $Z$  over  $Z\mathcal{E}$  and  $Z\pi$ , respectively (see §1). Thus we obtain

$$C_*(\mathcal{E}): \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_4(\mathcal{E})} C_3(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\partial_3(\mathcal{E})} C_2(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\partial_2(\tilde{X})} C_1(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\partial_1(\tilde{X})} C_0(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} Z \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \\ & & Z\mathcal{E} & Z\mathcal{E} & (Z\mathcal{E})^n & Z\mathcal{E} & \end{array}$$

and

$$C_*(\pi): \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_4(\pi)} C_3(\pi) \xrightarrow{\partial_3(\pi)} C_2(\tilde{Y}) \xrightarrow{\partial_2(\tilde{Y})} C_1(\tilde{Y}) \xrightarrow{\partial_1(\tilde{Y})} C_0(\tilde{Y}) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon'} Z \longrightarrow 0 .$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \\ & & Z\pi & Z\pi & (Z\pi)^n & Z\pi & \end{array}$$

As usual we invoke identifications  $\pi_2(X) \equiv Z\mathcal{E}(R - 1)$  and  $\pi_2(Y) \equiv Z\pi(Q - 1)$ .

Let  $u: C_*(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow {}_\alpha C_*(\pi)$  be any chain map extending the identity map  $1: Z \rightarrow Z$  and let  $u$  also denote the restriction  $u_2|Z\mathcal{E}(R - 1): Z\mathcal{E}(R - 1) \rightarrow {}_\alpha Z\pi(Q - 1)$ . From Theorem 3, we have that  $u_*: H^3(\mathcal{E}, Z\mathcal{E}(R - 1)) \rightarrow H^3(\mathcal{E}, {}_\alpha Z\pi(Q - 1))$  is an isomorphism.

Then  $Z\mathcal{E}$ -module isomorphism

$$Z\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{Z\alpha} {}_\alpha Z\pi \xrightarrow{g} {}_\alpha Z\pi$$

carries  $(R - 1)$  to  $g(Q^t - 1)$  and hence induces a  $Z\mathcal{E}$ -module isomorphism

$$w: Z\mathcal{E}(R - 1) \longrightarrow {}_\alpha Z\pi(Q - 1)$$

since  $Z\pi g(Q^t - 1) = Z\pi(Q^t - 1) = Z\pi(Q - 1)$  [by Lemma 2 (i)]. Because  $w_*: H^3(\mathcal{E}, Z\mathcal{E}(R - 1)) \rightarrow H^3(\mathcal{E}, {}_\alpha Z\pi(Q - 1))$  is an isomorphism, we obtain an isomorphism  $\bar{w}: H^3(\mathcal{E}, Z\mathcal{E}(R - 1)) \rightarrow H^3(\mathcal{E}, Z\mathcal{E}(R - 1))$  such that  $w_*\bar{w} = u_*$ . Since  $H^3(\mathcal{E}, Z\mathcal{E}(R - 1)) \approx Z_r$  [by Lemma i],  $\bar{w}$  is completely determined by its image  $\bar{w}(1) = s \bmod r$  where  $(s, r) = 1$ . Then by Lemmas 1 and 2,  $\bar{w}$  coincides with the cohomology isomorphism induced by the  $Z\mathcal{E}$ -module isomorphism  $\langle R, s \rangle: Z\mathcal{E}(R - 1) \rightarrow Z\mathcal{E}(R - 1)$ . Hence  $v = w\langle R, s \rangle$  is an isomorphism from  $Z\mathcal{E}(R - 1) \rightarrow {}_\alpha Z\pi(Q - 1)$  such that  $v_* = u_*$ . This means that there exists a module homomorphism  $\gamma: C_2(\tilde{X}) = Z\mathcal{E} \rightarrow {}_\alpha Z\pi(Q - 1) = \ker \partial_2(\tilde{Y})$  such that  $(v - u) \circ \partial_3(\mathcal{E}) = \gamma \circ \partial_3(\mathcal{E})$ . Then  $u_2 + \gamma: C_2(\tilde{X}) = Z\mathcal{E} \rightarrow {}_\alpha Z\pi = C_2(\tilde{Y})$  restricts to the second homotopy module  $Z\mathcal{E}(R - 1)$  to give  $v: Z\mathcal{E}(R - 1) \rightarrow {}_\alpha Z\pi(Q - 1)$  since  $(u_2 + \gamma) \circ \partial_3(\mathcal{E}) = u_2 \circ \partial_3(\mathcal{E}) + v \circ \partial_3(\mathcal{E}) - u \circ \partial_3(\mathcal{E}) = v \circ \partial_3(\mathcal{E})$ .

The homomorphisms  $u_0 = Z\alpha$ ,  $u_1$ , and  $u_2 + \gamma$  constitute a chain map  $C_*(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow {}_\alpha C_*(\tilde{Y})$  which induces an isomorphism on  $\ker \partial_2(\tilde{X})$ .

Therefore by the preliminary remarks in this section there exists a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  which realizes this new chain map and any such realization is actually a homotopy equivalence. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

**3. Factorization as sums.** Let  $X$  be a finite connected 2-dimensional  $CW$ -complex with a single 2-cell. In this section we consider homotopy factorizations of  $X$  into finite sums. Since any summand in such a factorization is dominated by the connected  $CW$ -complex  $X$ , the summand has the homotopy type of a connected  $CW$ -complex. Hence we may always assume each summand to be a  $CW$ -complex. Moreover we may assume  $X$  is the cellular model  $C(\mathcal{P})$  of a finite presentation

$$\mathcal{P} = (x_1, \dots, x_n: Q^q)$$

(where  $Q$  is not a proper power) for  $\pi = \pi_1 X$ .

**LEMMA 3.** (i)  $X \neq W \vee S^2$ .

(ii) If  $X \simeq W \vee Z$  where  $W$  and  $Z$  are not contractible, then  $\pi_1 W \neq 1$  and  $\pi_1 Z \neq 1$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $f: X \rightarrow W \vee S^2$  be a homotopy equivalence. If  $q = 1$ , then  $X = C(\mathcal{P})$  is aspherical so that  $0 = \pi_2 X \approx \pi_2(W \vee S^2) \approx \pi_2 W \oplus Z\pi$ , which is a contradiction. Thus we assume  $q > 1$ . In this case we have  $Z\pi(Q - 1) \approx \pi_2 X \approx \pi_2(W \vee S^2) \approx \pi_2(W) \oplus Z\pi$ . But this is impossible since we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z\pi(Q - 1) \cong \pi_2 X & \xrightarrow{f^\#} & \pi_2(W \vee S^2) \cong \pi_2 W \oplus Z\pi \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow \bar{h} \\ H_2 X & \xrightarrow{f_*} & H_2(W \vee S^2) \end{array}$$

where  $h$  and  $\bar{h}$  denote the Hurewicz homomorphisms. Here  $h$  and  $\bar{h}$  are given by the augmentation homomorphism  $\varepsilon: Z\pi \rightarrow Z$ . Clearly then  $h$  is the zero homomorphism whereas  $\bar{h}$  is a nonzero homomorphism, yielding a contradiction.

(ii) Suppose (ii) is not true, then without loss of generality we may assume that  $\pi_1 Z = 1$ . Since  $X$  is 2-dimensional,  $H_i X = 0$  for  $i \geq 3$  which implies that  $H_i Z = 0$  for  $i \geq 3$ . Furthermore since  $H_2 X$  is a free abelian group of rank 0 or 1, we conclude that  $H_2 Z = 0$  or  $Z$ . If  $H_2 Z = 0$ , we have that  $Z$  is contractible, a contradiction. Thus assume  $H_2 Z = Z$ . But then  $Z$  is a Moore space  $M(Z, 2)$ , hence  $Z \simeq S^2$ . This gives  $X \simeq W \vee S^2$ , contrary to part (i) above.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let us assume that  $X \simeq W \vee Z$  where  $W$

and  $Z$  are noncontractible. Because  $X = C(\mathcal{P})$  where  $\mathcal{P} = (x_1, \dots, x_n: Q^q)$ ,  $\pi = F/R$  where  $F = F(x_i)$  is the free group generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and  $R$  is the normal closure of the single relator  $Q^q$ . Since  $\pi_1 X \approx \pi_1 W * \pi_1 Z$  with  $\pi_1 W \neq 1$ ,  $\pi_1 Z \neq 1$  [by Lemma 3 (ii)], we have an epimorphism  $\bar{\varphi}: F \rightarrow \pi_1 W * \pi_1 Z$  given by

$$F \xrightarrow{\theta} F/R \xrightarrow{\varphi} \pi_1 W * \pi_1 Z$$

where  $\theta: F \rightarrow F/R$  is the canonical homomorphism and  $\varphi: F/R = \pi_1 X \rightarrow \pi_1 W * \pi_1 Z$  is an isomorphism. Therefore by Grushko's theorem (see Kurosh [9]), there exists generators  $w_1, \dots, w_l, z_1, \dots, z_k$  of  $F$  such that  $\bar{w}_i = \bar{\varphi}(w_i)$  generate  $\pi_1 W$  and  $\bar{z}_j = \bar{\varphi}(z_j)$  generate  $\pi_1 Z$ . Thus  $\pi$  has presentation

$$(w_1, \dots, w_l, z_1, \dots, z_k: r(w_i, z_j))$$

where  $r(w_i, z_j)$  is the original relator  $Q^q \in F(x_i) = F(w_i, Z_j)$  written in terms of the now generators.

We claim that  $r(w_i, z_j)$  is a reduced word either in  $w_i$  or in  $z_j$  only. To see this suppose  $r = r(w_i, z_j)$  involves both  $w_i$ 's and  $z_j$ 's. We can write  $r \neq 1$  in  $F(w_i, z_j)$  uniquely as a product  $V_1, \dots, V_s$  where  $V_i \in F(w_i)$  or  $F(z_j)$ ,  $V_i \neq 1$  and such that  $V_i$  and  $V_{i+1}$  belong to different factors of the free product  $F(w_i) * F(z_j)$ . Since  $\bar{\varphi}(r) = 1$  in  $\pi_1 W * \pi_1 Z$ , it follows that for some index  $v$ ,  $1 \leq v \leq s$ ,  $\bar{\varphi}(V_v) = 1$  in  $\pi_1 W$  or in  $\pi_1 Z$ . Without loss of generality, suppose  $V_v(w_i) = \bar{\varphi}(V_v) = 1$  in  $\pi_1 W$  so that  $V_v(w_i) = 1$  in  $\pi$ . But this is impossible: the single relator  $r$  does involve  $z_j$ , hence by the Freiheitssatz ([11], Theorem 4.1, p. 252) the subgroup of  $\pi = F/R$  generated by the generators  $w_i$  is freely generated by them so that  $V_v(w_i) \neq 1$  in  $\pi$ .

Thus we may assume that the original relator  $r$  is a word in only  $w_i$ . Hence  $\pi_1 Z$  is presented by  $(z_1, \dots, z_k:)$  and  $\pi_1 W$  is presented by  $(w_1, \dots, w_l: r(w_i))$ , and the original isomorphism  $\varphi$  is a factor-wise isomorphism

$$\varphi = \varphi_W * \varphi_Z: F/R = F(w_i)/N(r(w_i)) * F(z_j) \longrightarrow \pi_1 W * \pi_1 Z$$

where  $N(r(w_i))$  is the normal closure in  $F(w_i)$  of the single relator  $r(w_i)$  and  $F(z_j)$  is the free group of rank  $k$  generated by  $z_1, \dots, z_k$ .

Therefore  $\pi_1 Z$  is a free group of rank  $k$  and since  $Z$  is a retract of a 2-dimensional CW-complex  $X$ , by a result of C. T. C. Wall ([14], Proposition 3.3),  $Z$  has the homotopy type of a finite bouquet of 1-spheres and 2-spheres. But in view of Lemma 3 (i), there can be no 2-spheres involved; therefore  $Z \simeq kS^1$ .

By Theorem 1, there is a homotopy equivalence

$$f: W \vee kS^1 \longrightarrow Y \vee kS^1$$

where  $Y$  is the cellular model of the presentation  $(w_1, \dots, w_i; r(w_i))$  and  $f_* = \varphi_W * 1: \pi_1 W * F^k \rightarrow \pi_1 Y * F^k$ . Now we can attach  $k$  2-cells via the attaching maps which are identity on the  $k$  1-spheres, and the homotopy equivalence  $f$  extends to a homotopy equivalence  $W \vee kB^2 \simeq Y \vee kB^2$  ([7], Prop. 6.8, p. 41). Thus  $W \simeq Y$ .

Finally let us assume that  $\pi_1 X \approx H * K$  with  $H \neq 1$  and  $K \neq 1$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $H$  is a one-relator group and  $K$  is a free group of rank  $k$ , say. Then by Theorem 1,  $X \simeq W \vee Z$  where  $W$  is the cellular model of a single relator presentation for  $H$  and  $Z = kS^1$ . This completes the proof.

4. **An example.** One might attempt to generalize Theorem 1 to 2-dimensional  $CW$ -complexes with one-relator fundamental groups but having more than a single 2-cell. Unfortunately, we have the following example of Dunwoody [2] which involves homotopically distinct 2-dimensional  $CW$ -complexes with two 2-cells and isomorphic one-relator fundamental groups. Namely he has shown that the cellular models of the presentations

$$\mathcal{P} = (a, b: a^2b^{-3}, 1)$$

and

$$\mathcal{R} = (a, b: (a^2b^{-3})(a^2b^{-3})^a(a^2b^{-3})^{a^2}, (a^2b^{-3})(a^2b^{-3})^b(a^2b^{-3})^{b^2}(a^2b^{-3})^{b^3})$$

of the trefoil group do not have the same homotopy type ( $x^g$  denotes  $g^{-1}xg$ ). However  $C(\mathcal{P}) \vee S^2 \simeq C(\mathcal{R}) \vee S^2$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. W. H. Cockcroft, *On two-dimensional aspherical complexes*, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) **4** (1954), 375-384.
2. M. J. Dunwoody, *The homotopy type of a two-dimensional complex*, (to appear).
3. M. N. Dyer, *Projective  $k$ -invariants*, (to appear).
4. E. Dyer and A. T. Vasquez, *Some small aspherical spaces*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (16) **3** (1973), 332-352.
5. R. H. Fox, *Free differential calculus II*, Ann. Math., **59** (1954), 196-210.
6. K. Gruenberg, *Cohomological Topics in Group Theory*, Vol. 143, Lecture notes in mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.
7. P. Hilton, *Homotopy Theory and Duality*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1965.
8. I. Hughes, *The second cohomology groups of one-relator groups of one-relator groups*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **19** (1966), 299-308.
9. A. G. Kurosh, *The Theory of Groups*, Vol. II, translated and edited by K. Hirsch, Chelsea, New York, 1956.
10. R. Lyndon, *Cohomology theory of groups with a single defining relation*, Ann. of Math., **52** (1950), 650-665.
11. W. Magnus, A. Karrass, and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Interscience, New York, 1966.
12. B. Schellenberg, *The group of homotopy self-equivalences of some compact CW-complexes*, Math., Ann., **200** (1973), 253-266.

13. A. Shenitzer, *Decomposition of a group with a single defining relation into a free product*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **6** (1955), 273-279.
14. C. T. C. Wall, *Finiteness conditions for  $CW$ -complexes*, Ann. of Math., **81** (2) (1965), 56-69.

Received February 15, 1977 and in revised form April 10, 1978.

UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA  
NORMAN, OK 73019

